## THE PRAGMATICS OF ORTHOGRAPHIC SHAMING

#### WRITTEN SPEECH ACTS AND THE NEGOTIATION OF POWER, NORMATIVITY, AND DISCUSSION CULTURE

#### **DIMITRIOS MELETIS**

Recipient of a DOC Fellowship of the Austrian Academy of Sciences Department of Linguistics, University of Graz

16<sup>th</sup> International Pragmatics Conference Hong Kong Polytechnic University June 12<sup>th</sup>, 2019



### STRUCTURE

- 1. Orthographic shaming definition and examples, analysis
- 2. Attitudes on orthographic shaming and orthography: interviews, highlights, analysis
- 3. Conclusions

How do people correct the mistakes of others on social media, and do these corrected parties react? If so, how? Why do people engage in this behavior? What do outsiders think about it?

### **ORTHOGRAPHIC SHAMING:** DEFINITION

13

1

😝 🚹 3



my terminological proposal (Meletis 2019) that labels the behavior of publicly commenting on or correcting the orthographic mistakes/errors of a person with the intention of discrediting that person; it focuses on the behavior rather than the actors engaged in it

#### **ORTHOGRAPHIC SHAMING:** ANALYSIS

- for orthographic shaming to occur, the context cannot be neutral
  - most often, it is a polarizing and emotional context inviting different opinions (i.e. politics)
- it is meant to discredit the corrected person to devalue their argument
  - this only works because/if orthographic competence is interpreted as a reflection of intelligence or education
- humor is a big part of orthographic shaming
- it more frequently occurs in the form of comments that address errors/mistakes indirectly than in the form of direct corrections
  - what is addressed is not a single and isolated error/mistake, but the orthographic competence of a person as a whole, and, consequently, the person in general
- targeted people either do not respond or point out that orthographic shaming is no argument

#### ATTITUDES ON ORTHOGRAPHIC Shaming: Interviews

- three parts: (1) implicit knowledge, beliefs and opinions about orthography and orthographic shaming, (2) experience with and opinions about orthographic shaming, (3) reaction to and questions about a specific example of orthographic shaming
- 21 interviews (13f, 8m) ranging from 13 to 55 minutes
- interviewees had responded to an ad in the Department of Linguistics (University of Graz) and were paid €10 to participate
- homogeneous group: most participants are students, ages range from 18 to 29, similar educational backgrounds, all of them (with minor exceptions) assess their own orthographic competence as very good

#### ATTITUDES ON ORTHOGRAPHIC Shaming: Highlights

"That they should find a hobby ... I somehow find it a bit bullying and I think one probably simply has nothing better to do." [A03]

#### ATTITUDES ON ORTHOGRAPHIC Shaming: Highlights

"The fact that a mistake is corrected does not reveal anything about the person [correcting it], I think, it always also kind of depends on the tone of voice." [A06]

#### ATTITUDES ON ORTHOGRAPHIC Shaming: Analysis

- orthographic shaming [act] is judged, orthographic shamers [actors] are not
- nuanced statements are made about people who make a great number of spelling errors/mistakes (dyslexia is mentioned, albeit seldom); however, most interviewees admitted to judging a person "unconsciously", involuntarily; this could be termed involuntary automatic orthographic shaming and reflects the high status of the orthographic standardization
- most interviewees personally reject orthographic shaming and state they would never consider orthographically shaming another person; corrections are handled in private [through private messages] and with the intention of helping
- attitudes shift if it is not private persons but institutions that are being shamed
- orthographic shaming is perceived as being entertaining, humor plays a role in how a specific instance of orthographic shaming is evaluated

### CONCLUSIONS

- writing is deeply entrenched in norms (unlike speech?)
- knowledge of these norms is considered social/cultural capital and power; this is instrumentalized in strategies such as orthographic shaming, the response to which is, however, largely negative, although most people (involuntarily) engage in a passive form of it
- the public's attitudes (and the public *does* have attitudes) towards orthography are valuable in further investigating the complex (also grapholinguistic) function of orthographies and their status as a central cornerstone of linguistic policy

# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATETNTION! \*